

Cyclox Meeting February 5th 2004

Cowley Road Improvement Ideas – 28 people present

Introduction – Dominic Schofield

Ideas for improvements to Cowley Road have been streamlined by a working group thus this meeting of Cyclox focused mainly on street layout.

Clare and Charles from the East Oxford Community Action Group attended the meeting and reported on their findings from the consultation. Approximately 1000 responses to the consultation have now been received from a wide range of Cowley Road users. The following summarises the requests that were made, both by cyclists and non cyclists:

Cycle Paths

Problems with these on Cowley Road at the moment stem from the following:

- Parking
- Cycle paths aren't consistent

Bike Stands

11 requests for these were put in, most specifying Sheffield Racks. Clare noted that the racks currently outside Tesco aren't good ones but that they are only 18 months old

Cyclist Behaviour

Consultees raised concerns over the following:

- Ignoring traffic lights
- Cycling on the pavement
- Cycling without lights

James Styring noted that there was an upcoming Cyclox project working with the police on cyclists' use of lights.

Parking issues were raised over the following areas:

- Manzil Way
- James Street
- Princes Street

Visioning Exercise – Dom set the scene for a visioning exercise to help focus the minds of everyone on Cowley Road and what the ideal result of this work would be.

Layout Options – Richard Mann

Basic Ideas were presented and discussed – see below.

Layout Options

Botley Road – 8m (plus loading bays). Pelicans. No lane-sharing. 30mph	Cycle Lane – 1m Traffic Lane – 3m Traffic Lane – 3m Cycle Lane – 1m Parking – 2m	
Utrecht – 6m (plus parking bays). Buses have to drive in cycle lane to pass cars/buses coming the other way (but cars don't). Road narrow enough for most pedestrians to jaywalk. 15-20mph.	Cycle Lane – 1m Traffic Lane – 4m Cycle Lane – 1m Parking – 2m	
Neu Beckum – 6.8m (plus parking bays). Buses have to overlap into (wide) cycle lane all the time & slow when passing a cyclist. No real conflicts with traffic in opposite direction. Pelicans needed for pedestrians. 30mph	Cycle Lane – 1.3m Traffic Lane – 2.1m Traffic Lane – 2.1m Cycle Lane – 1.3m Parking – 2m	
Hennef – 7.0m (plus parking bays). 1m traffic islands. Pedestrians cross fairly easily. Cars can pass cyclists without deviation (but do slow slightly). Buses have to pass cyclists in gaps between traffic islands (and slow a lot). 20mph	Traffic Lane – 3m Islands – 1m Traffic Lane – 3m Parking – 2m	
Abingdon Road (outbound) – 8.5m. 1.5m traffic islands. Cars pass cyclists with no reduction in speed. Buses can pass but with slight reduction in speed. 25mph	Cycle Lane – 1m Traffic Lane – 2.5m Islands – 1.5m Traffic Lane – 2.5m Cycle Lane – 1m	
Cyclox 1997 – 7m (plus parking bays), widening to 8m (including traffic islands) at junctions. Similar to Abingdon Road but with no median strip and parking bays between junctions, and slightly greater slowing effect. 20mph	Cycle Lane – 1m Traffic Lane – 2.5m Islands – 1m Traffic Lane – 2.5m Cycle Lane – 1m Parking – 2m	

Layout Options Discussion – Pros & Cons

Botley Road

- Pro – No encroachment on cyclists
- Con – cycle lane too narrow
- Pro – straight
- Pro – fast
- Pro – traffic doesn't obstruct opposite direction
- Con – too fast (except rush hour!)

Utrecht

- Pro – discourage cars
- Con – squeezed by buses – dangerous
- Con – discourage cyclists
- Con – drivers may not know where to drive
- Con – slower buses
- Pro – shorted pedestrian crossing distance
- Pro – very slow (& safe)

Neu Beckum

- Pro – buses know they have to overtake you
- Pro – wider cycle lane – extra protection from parking
- Pro – cyclists can overtake one another
- Con – too fast
- Con – don't like Pelicans
- Con – danger at junctions & pedestrians crossing
- Pro – visual narrowing (but lots of green paint)
- Con – cars might park in cycle lane

Hennef

- Pro – good for pedestrians
- Con – so many cyclists on Cowley Road that buses would have to crawl
- Pro – greenery on islands (?)
- Con – buses would tailgate and cut up cyclist
- Con – would require driver education (but would be good if that happened)
- Con – buses will cut in
- Con – motorcyclists would zip in and out
- Con – unpredictability gives increased stress

Abingdon Road

- Pro – clear run
- Pro – not really squeezed by buses
- Con – will it work with side junctions & parking (unlike Abingdon Road)
- Con – not very slow / still quite fast
- Con – maybe not enough room for required parking
- Con – doubtful whether it can cope with lots of turning moves
- Pro – good for pedestrians
- Pro – potential for central parking strip (but might be difficult & no ready examples to copy, though would have some advantages..)

Cyclox 1997

- Con – Get squeezed at sideways shifts (need to design with care) - ? rumble strip
- Pro – Irregularity could slow traffic – but can't overdo it
- Con – harder to turn due to lack of median strip / closeness of refuges to junctions
- Pro – cyclists not overly squeezed

There was a wide ranging discussion on all these options with the majority of the group being pro cycle lanes although there was some anti-cycle lane feeling.

The conclusion was that the broad majority of the group wished to support the "Cyclox 1997" option, but with wider cycle lanes (1.3m). This provided buses with a lane that was just sufficient for them, so they should (a) slow down and (b) not encroach on cyclists.